

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION**

## ULTRAVISION TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,

*Plaintiff,*

V.

## **GOVISION, LLC,**

*Defendant.*

## **ULTRAVISION TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,**

*Plaintiff,*

V.

## **PRISMAFLEX INTERNATIONAL FRANCE S.A.,**

*Defendant.*

## ORDER

Defendant Prismaflex International, S.A. (“PI”) previously filed a Motion to Dismiss. (Dkt. No. 93.) Magistrate Judge Payne filed a Report and Recommendation recommending that the Motion to Dismiss be denied in all respects. (Dkt. No. 219.) PI has filed Objections to the R&R. (Dkt. No. 231.)

After reviewing the briefing for the Motion to Dismiss, Judge Payne's R&R, and PI's Objections, the Court agrees with the conclusions reached within the R&R. Consequently, the Court **OVERRULES** Defendants' Objections and **ADOPTS** the R&R. It is therefore **ORDERED** that PI's Motion to Dismiss is **DENIED**.

**So Ordered this**

**Mar 28, 2020**



\_\_\_\_\_  
RODNEY GILSTRAP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE